



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,953		05/03/2001	Kevin Hickerson	0007975-0007	6923	
23600	7590	01/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		THERS LLP	CHAWAN, SHEELA C			
333 SOU 23RD FL	TH HOPE OOR	STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS AN	GELES, C	A 90071	2625			
				DATE MAILED: 01/11/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summan	09/848,953	HICKERSON ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sheela C Chawan	2625	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	-
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA insions of time may be available under the provisions of 3° SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data period for reply specified above, the maximum statuto ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ation. 19s, a reply within the statutory minimum of ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133).	tion.
Status				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n <u>30 August 2004</u> .		
2a)[☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.		
3)[	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits	is
	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-69 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-69 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected	o by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abe	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachmen	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intervie	v Summary (PTO-413)	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC		o(s)/Mail Date  f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	rr No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on August 30, 2004 has been entered and made of record.

Applicant's arguments, see page 14, lines 6 -10, filed on August 30, 2004, with respect to claims 1- 69 have been fully considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection is made in view of S.H.Paek et al., "On-Line Korean Character recognition by Using Two Types of Neural Networks", Dept. of computer Science and Engineering, Pages 2113- 2116.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 24-25, 47 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by of S.H.Paek et al., "On-Line Korean Character recognition by Using Two

Art Unit: 2625

Types of Neural Networks", Dept. of computer Science and Engineering, Pages 2113-2116.

As to claim 1, S.H.Paek et al discloses a method of translating handwritten (Hanguel) (Korean Characters) input to machine-readable characters (fig 3.2, page 2114-2115) comprising:

obtaining a first data item (note, acquiring input information corresponds to a user writing a character on a tablet using a pen like stylus, the tablet periodically samples coordinates of the hand written pattern and sends to the first network to be processed see page 2114, paragraph 3.1); and

performing one or more recognition processing (page 2114, paragraph 3 performing recognition method) operations upon said first data (see page 2114, paragraph 3.1, item by a special purpose hardware unit to produce a second data item (see page 2114, paragraph 3.1 and 3.2).

As to claims 2, 25 and 48, S.H.Paek discloses the method wherein said data item is a handwritten symbol (processing on-line handwritten (Hanguel) (Korean Characters).

As to claim 24, see the rejection of claim 1, above.

As to claim 47, see the rejection of claim 1, above.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3 -15, 20-23, 26-38, 43-46, 49- 61, 66-69, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over of S.H.Paek et al., "On-Line Korean Character recognition by Using Two Types of Neural Networks", Dept. of computer Science and Engineering, Pages 2113- 2116, as applied to claims 1, 2, 24-25, 47 and 48 above and further in view of Shustorovich et al. (US. 5,542,006).

Regarding claims 3, 26 and 49, S.H.Paek et al., disclose "On-Line Korean Character recognition by Using Two Types of Neural Networks". S.H.Paek is silent about specifics details of altering a data item by a preprocessor to reduce form.

Shustorovich discloses a neural network based character position detector for use in optical character recognition. The system comprises of:

altering (note, altering is like filtering the data in the reduce form, column 9, lines 1-16) said first data item by a preprocessor (fig 10, item 1030, corresponds to

Art Unit: 2625

preprocessor) to a reduced form (column 6, lines 27-47, column 9, lines 1-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify S.H.Paek et al to include altering a data item by a preprocessor to reduce form. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify S.H.Paek by the teaching of Shustorovich in order to remove or reduce the artifacts in characters recognition, (as suggested by Shustorovich at column 15, lines 49 - 51).

As to claims 4, 27 and 50, Shustorovich discloses the method wherein said step of altering is fully information preserving (note, altering is like filtering the data in the reduce form, to preserver the information, column 6, lines 27- 47, column 9, lines 1-16).

As to claims 5, 28 and 51, Shustorovich discloses the method further comprising: selecting one or more machine-readable characters by a postprocessor (column 5, lines 52-61, fig 1, item 156 and 170).

As to claims 6, 29 and 52, Shustorovich discloses the method wherein said special purpose hardware unit is configured to perform a first recognition processing operation (column 6, lines 27- 47) and a second recognition processing operation (column 6, lines 48- 57) in parallel (column 2, lines 5-13).

As to claims 7, 30 and 53, Shustorovich discloses the method wherein said special hardware unit is configured to perform hidden Markov model computations (column 5, lines 48- 61).

As to claims 8 and 31, Shustorovich discloses the method wherein said special purpose hardware unit comprises:

Art Unit: 2625

a memory unit (fig 1, item 152 and 165, column 4, lines 34 – 35).

As to claims 9, 32 and 55, Shustorovich discloses the method further comprising: presenting said machine readable characters to a user (column 1, lines 59-67).

As to claims 10, 33 and 56, Shustorovich discloses the method further comprising:

obtaining an indication from said user of whether said machine readable characters are a correct translation of said data item (column 1, lines 59-67).

As to claims 11, 34, 54 and 57, Shustorovich discloses the method wherein said data item is a combination of a plurality of handwritten symbols (fig 3A, column 1, lines 59-67).

As to claims 12, 35 and 58, Shustorovich discloses the method further comprising:

adjusting the operation of said special purpose hardware unit in accordance with a set of training data (column 4, lines 34 - 42).

As to claims 13, 36 and 59, Shustorovich discloses the method further comprising:

adjusting the operation of said preprocessor (column 5, lines 8-12) in accordance with a set of training data (column 4, lines 34-42).

As to claims 14, 37 and 60, Shustorovich discloses the method further comprising:

adjusting the operation of said postprocessor (column 6, lines 50 –57) in accordance with a set of training data (column 4, lines 43 - 48).

Art Unit: 2625

As to claims 15, 38 and 61, Shustorovich discloses the method wherein said step of selecting comprises:

determining a context of said data item (column 1, lines 59-67).

As to claims 21, 44 and 67, Shustorovich discloses the method wherein said hidden Markov model operations are forward probability calculations (column 16, line 6 through column 17, lines 1-13).

As to claims 22, 45 and 68, Shustorovich discloses the method wherein said hidden Markov model operations are backward probability calculations (column 16, line 6 through column 17, lines 1-13).

As to claims 23, 46 and 69, Shustorovich discloses the method of wherein one or more wordlets are part of a symbol alphabet (column 2, lines 45- 57).

As per claim 20, S.H.Paek et al., disclose "On-Line Korean Character recognition by Using Two Types of Neural Networks". The system comprising:

obtaining a first data item (note, acquiring input information corresponds to a user writing a character on a tablet using a pen like stylus, the tablet periodically samples coordinates of the hand written pattern and sends to the first network to be processed see page 2114, paragraph 3.1); and

S.H.Paek is silent about specifics details of performing one or more hidden Markov model operations upon said first data item.

Shustorovich discloses neural network based character position detector for use in optical character recognition. The system comprises of:

performing one or more hidden Markov model operations upon said data item as taught by Shustorovich (column 5, lines 48- 60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify S.H.Paek to include one or more hidden Markov model. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify S.H.Paek by the teaching of Shustorovich in order to provide fast and often surprisingly good output approximations results, (as suggested by Shustorovich at column 2, lines 9- 13).

As to claim 43, see the rejection of claim 20, above.

As to claim 66, see the rejection of claim 20, above.

4. Claims 16 –19, 39-42 and 62-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over S.H.Paek et al., "On-Line Korean Character recognition by Using Two Types of Neural Networks", Dept. of computer Science and Engineering, Pages 2113- 2116, in view of Shustorovich et al. (US. 5,542,006), as applied to the claims 1-15, 20-38,43-61, and 66-69 above and further in view of Friend et al. (US. 5,455,901).

Regarding claims 16, 39 and 62, S.H.Paek et al., disclose "On-Line Korean Character recognition by Using Two Types of Neural Networks". S.H.Paek is silent about specifics details of determining a correctly spelled word wherein said machine readable characters appear in said correctly spelled word and said correctly spelled word is appropriate for said context.

Friend discloses an input device which is related to the field of handwritten data entry in computer system and it ability to translate original handwritten strokes of ink or

Art Unit: 2625

blocks of ink into machine-readable words or characters for display. The system comprises of:

determining a correctly spelled word wherein said machine readable characters appear in said correctly spelled word and said correctly spelled word is appropriate for said context (column 2, lines 1- 37, column 6, lines 56- 67, column 7, lines 14-47, column 9, lines 6- 15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified S.H.Paek to determine a correctly spelled word wherein said machine readable characters appear in said correctly spelled word and said correctly spelled word is appropriate for said context. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified S.H.Paek by the teaching of Friend in order to provide an improved data entry for handwriting entry computer system by disabling the automatic display of a translation of the entered data, (as suggested by Friend at column 4, lines 9- 11).

As to claims 17, 40 and 63, Friend discloses the method wherein said step of selecting further comprises:

determining whether machine readable characters are grammatically incorrect for said context (column 2, lines 1- 37, column 6, lines 56- 67, column 7, lines 14- 47, column 9, lines 6- 15).

As to claims 18, 41 and 64, Friend discloses the method of claim 15 wherein said step of selecting further comprises:

determining a word in which said machine readable characters appear in said

Application/Control Number: 09/848,953 Page 10

Art Unit: 2625

word and said word appeared previously in said context (column 6, lines 56- 67, column 7, lines 1 - 47).

As to claims 19, 42 and 65, Friend discloses the method of claim 15 wherein said step of selecting further comprises:

examining a set of user information (column 7, lines 31-47).

Art Unit: 2625

## **Contact Information**

Page 11

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheela C Chawan whose telephone number is 703-305-4876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6 - 7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 703-308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheela Chawan
Patent Examiner
Group Art Unit 2625
Jan 1, 2005